IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

TUESDAY, THE 10TH APRIL 2007 / 20TH CHAITHRA 1929

WP(C).No. 11632 of 2007(I)

PETITIONER:

P.P.NIZAMUDHEEN, S/O. DR. K.P.SHAIKOYA, ANDROTH ISLAND, LAKSHADWEEP, (NOW RESIDING AT ZUHARA MANZIL, OOTTY ROAD, PERINTHALMANNA, MALAPPURAM DIST.)

BY ADV. SRI.K.S.MOHAMED HASHIM
SMT.CAROLIN SINDHU VAZ

RESPONDENTS:

1. UNIVERSITY OF CALICUT, REPRESENTED BY ITS REGISTRAR, CALICUT UNIVERSITY P.O.

2. THE CONTROLLER OF EXAMINATION, UNIVERSITY OF CALICUT, CALICUT UNIVERSITY P.O.

BY SHRI.P.C.SASIDHARAN.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 10/04/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

S.SIRI JAGAN, J.

==========

W.P.(C).No.11632 of 2007

==========

Dated this the 10th day of April, 2007

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner in this writ petition complains of delay in publication of the results of the revaluation of his answer papers for the examination conducted in September, 2005 and April, 2006. In respect of the examination conducted in September, 2005, the standing counsel originally informed this court that the answer paper of the petitioner for which revaluation has been sought, is not traceable. Accordingly, I directed the standing counsel to furnish the address of the examiner who is responsible for the loss of the answer paper. Thereafter, the standing counsel now submits that the paper has since been traced. In respect of the examination conducted in April, 2006, the standing counsel points out that the writ petition does not contain any particulars of the

application for revaluation submitted by the petitioner. As such, the respondents are not in a position to state anything regarding the same.

2. Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, and the learned Standing Counsel appearing for the University, I dispose of this writ petition with the following directions:

The respondents shall complete the revaluation process in respect of the examination held in September, 2005 in respect of which, the petitioner has admittedly applied for revaluation, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. In respect of the examination of April, 2006, the petitioner may file a separate writ petition furnishing the details of his application for revaluation.

Sd/-
sdk+ S.SIRI JAGAN, JUDGE

///True copy///

P.A. to Judge.